



LUND UNIVERSITY

Faculty of Social Sciences

STYR 2021/2209

MINUTES 5:2021

2021-10-28

Department of Psychology
Management Group of the Master of Science
Programme in Psychology

Participants	Roger Johansson Geoffrey Patching Melika Miralem Kira Friedrichs Mikael Johansson Roger Persson Åsa Arvidsson Hannele Granström	Programme Director, Chairing Member Student Representative Student Representative Member Member Programme Coordinator Secretary
Absent	Elia Psouni	Programme Director

Agenda Item	Notes	Decision / Follow-up
§1. Meeting called to order 09:30	Hannele Granström will take notes during the meeting in absence of a secretary.	
§2. Choice of adjuster of the minutes		Kira Friedrichs
§3. Minutes of the previous meeting		The previous minutes is approved.
§4. Approval of Agenda		The agenda is approved
§5. Follow up on course evaluations from the previous meeting	In respect to the discussion from the previous meeting regarding exam questions in PSYP11, Roger J informed that this information has been communicated to the course leader and the responsible teacher. Currently there are no plans to change the PSYP11 exam questions. But students are welcome to continue this discussion with the teachers in the course.	

<p>§6. Course evaluations from spring 2021 (Appendix). Read before meeting. (<i>discussion point</i>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PSYP70 • PSYP75 • PSYP80 • PSYP85 • PSYP90 	<p>Roger J informs that the summary is absent for PSYP75 and that the responses for PSYP80 has not been registered (unknown reason).</p> <p>Problems with low response rates were discussed.</p> <p>Melika & Kira mentioned that course evaluations are typically sent out just after the final exam. This might not be a very good time – since students change their focus in various ways right after a course is finished.</p> <p>The whole group agreed on this and different ways of sending out reminders were discussed, as well as a need for making this process more automatized.</p> <p>Geoffrey mentions that students have many course evaluations to respond to and they are very long – this might be overwhelming, and the questions should be reviewed.</p> <p>Roger J mentions that a new format for all course evaluations at the department is currently being developed.</p> <p>Problems with communication and coordination between the courses were discussed.</p> <p>Roger J informed that this will be carefully considered when developing the courses in the revised Programme.</p> <p>Potential ethical issues with the empirical work in PSYP90 were discussed (Roger P, Roger J, Melika, Kira, Mikael J).</p>	
--	--	--

§7. Improving course evaluation response rate and feedback (<i>decision point</i>)	Roger J proposed that Programme directors will towards the end of each semester meet student representatives for an open discussion. The point is to get more qualitative feedback on courses in relation to the Programme as a whole.	The decision is to proceed with this proposition.
§8. Other business	<p>Melika mentioned that there are wishes from some students to get more advanced level/additional content in PSYP36 and further guidance in how to approach the course material in PSYP56.</p> <p>Mikael, Roger P and Geoffrey appreciated this feedback and looked forward to the more detailed course evaluation.</p> <p>Åsa mentions that students can get help from mentors and the current system with SI mentors were discussed.</p> <p>Geoffrey pointed out that for the statistics course we currently to a large degree rely on students having their own computers and that we need to keep an eye on this potential problem.</p> <p>Melika also mentioned that there is a student suggestion on how new students could get better prepared when starting the Programme and this information will be forwarded to the Programme directors.</p>	Roger J, Elia and Åsa will follow up on this.

§9. Adjournment		11:00


.....

Minutes

Hannele Granström


.....

Adjuster of minutes

Roger Johansson


.....

Adjuster of minutes

Kira Friedrichs